

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/087,384	PEPPERS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John J. Romano	2192	

All Participants:

(1) John J. Romano.

(2) James R. Bell, Reg No. 26,528.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 February 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

Independent claims 1 and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

Dodson, US 6,513,159 & Killebrew et al, US 5,577,244

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that after an updated search, dependent claim 4 and 17 included allowable subject matter. Examiner called and proposed that dependent claims 4 and 17 would render the application allowable if included in the respective independent claims. Applicant's representative agreed to submit the proposed amendment in a supplemental fax to be incorporated in an Examiner's amendment if agreeable by his client.